## Approximating Lebesgue Measurable Sets

So far we know the following about measurable sets:

- 1. They form a  $\sigma$ -algebra (so closed under countable unions and complements hence also closed under countable intersections)
- 2. They contain all Borel sets (the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open subsets of ℝ)
- 3. All sets of measure 0 are measurable.

Measurable sets contain the following **excision property**. If  $A$  is measurable of finite outer measure and  $A \subseteq E$ , then

$$
m^*(E\sim A)=m^*(E)-m^*(A)
$$

since:

$$
m^*(E) = m^*(E \cap A) + m^*(E \cap A^c) = m^*(A) + m^*(E \sim A).
$$

And since  $m^*(A)$  is finite we have:

$$
m^*(E\!\sim\!A)=m^*(E)-m^*(A).
$$

Theorem: Let  $E$  be any set of real numbers. Then each of the following is equivalent to the measurability of  $E$ :

- 1. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there's an open set  $O \supseteq E$  where  $m^*(O {\sim} E) < \epsilon$
- 2. There is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G\supseteq E$  for which  $m^*(G\!\sim\!E)=0$
- 3. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there's an closed set  $F \subseteq E$  where  $m^*(E{\sim}F) < \epsilon$
- 4. There is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $F \subseteq E$  where  $m^*(E{\sim}F)=0.$

Proof: Assume E is measurable and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

If  $m^*(E) < \infty$  then by the definition of an outer measure there is a collection  ${I_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of open intervals which covers  $E$  and has

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) < m^*(E) + \epsilon.
$$

Let  $O = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  $_{k=1}^{\infty}$   $I_{k}$  , then  $O$  is an open set containing  $E_{+}$  In addition:

$$
m^*(0) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) < m^*(E) + \epsilon,
$$

So  $m^*(0) - m^*(E) < \epsilon$ .

Since  $E$  is measurable and has finite measure, by the excision property:

$$
m^*(O \sim E) = m^*(O) - m^*(E) < \epsilon.
$$

If  $m^*(E) = \infty$ , let  $E_k = E \cap [k, k + 1]$  and  $E = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_k$ , a countable union measurable sets, each with finite measure.

By the first part we know there is an open set  $O_k \supseteq E_k$  with

$$
m^*(O_k \sim E_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{|k|+2}}.
$$

Now let  $0 = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} O_k$ .

O is open,  $O \supseteq E$ , and  $O \sim E = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} O_k \sim E \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (O_k \sim E_k)$ .

(Draw a picture with 2 pairs of sets,  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ , and  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  to see this is true.)

Therefore:

$$
m^*(O \sim E) \le \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m^*(O_k \sim E_k) < \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{|k|+2}} < \epsilon
$$

 $1 \Rightarrow 2$ :

For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there's an open set  $O \supseteq E$  where  $m^*(O {\sim} E) < \epsilon.$ For each  $n>0$ , choose  $O_n\supseteq E$  an open set with  $\,m^*(O_n{\sim}E) < \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$  $_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $O_n$ .

G is a  $G_{\delta}$  set and  $G \supseteq E$ .

In addition, for each  $n, G \sim E \subseteq O_n \sim E$ . Thus  $m^*(G \sim E) \leq m^*(O_n \sim E) < \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n}$ , for all  $n$ . Hence  $m^*(G \sim E) = 0$ .

Now let's show that  $2 \Rightarrow E$  is measurable. Since there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G\supseteq E$  for which  $m^*(G\!\sim\!E)=0$ ,  $G \sim E$  has measure 0 and is hence measurable.

G is a  $G_{\delta}$  set, hence it's measurable. Thus E is measurable because

$$
E = G \cap (G \sim E)^c.
$$

3 and 4 follow from the fact that a set is measurable if and only if its complement is measurable, is open if and only if its complement is closed, is  $F_{\sigma}$  if and only if its complement if  $G_{\delta}$ , and

 $E \sim \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \sim V_k), \qquad E \sim \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \sim V_k)$  $k=1$ ∞  $k=1$ ∞  $k=1$ ∞  $E_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \sim V_k), \qquad E \sim \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \sim V_k).$ 

(DeMorgan Identities).

Ex. show with an example that It is not true that

a. if E is measurable then there exists a closed set F such that if  $E \subseteq F$  and  $m^*(F \sim E) < \epsilon$ 

b. if E is measurable then there exists an open set O such that  $0 \subseteq E$  and  $m^*(E \sim 0) < \epsilon$ .

a. Let  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1].$ 

Then any closed set  $F \supseteq E$  must have  $F \supseteq [0,1]$ , since F must contain all limit points of  $E$ .

Hence  $m^*(F) \geq 1$ , but  $m^*(E) = 0$ .

Thus if  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , for example, then  $m^*(F\!\sim\!E) \nless \epsilon$ .

b. Let  $E = [0,1] \sim (\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1])$  =set of irrational numbers between 0 and 1. But the only open set E contains is the empty set,  $\phi$ .

Thus  $m^*(E) = 1$  and  $m^*(\phi) = 0$ . Hence if  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , for example, then  $m^*(E \sim \phi) \nless \epsilon$ . Ex. Use as a definition of a measurable set that  $E$  is measurable if there exists a  $G_\delta$  set  $G\supseteq E$  for which  $m^*(G\!\sim\!E)=0$  and prove that the union of two measurable sets is measurable.

Let  $D$  and  $E$  be measurable sets.

Thus there exists  $G_\delta$  sets  $G\supseteq D$  and  $H\supseteq E$  with  $m^*(G\!\sim\!D)=0$  and  $m^*(H \sim E) = 0$ .

We must show there exists a  $G_\delta$  set  $K \supseteq D \cup E$  with  $m^*(K {\sim} (D \cup E)) = 0.$ 

Let's show  $K = G \cup H$  works.

K is a  $G_{\delta}$  set because it's the union of  $G_{\delta}$  sets.

 $G \sim D = G \cap D^c$ , and  $H \sim E = H \cap E^c$ .

Notice that:

$$
(G \cup H) \sim (D \cup E) = (G \cup H) \cap (D \cup E)^c \subseteq (G \cap D^c) \cup (H \cap E^c)
$$
  
(draw a picture to see that this is true)

Thus: 
$$
m^*((G \cup H) \sim (D \cup E)) \le m^*((G \cap D^c) \cup (H \cap E^c))
$$
  
\n $\le m^*((G \cap D^c)) + m^*((H \cap E^c))$   
\n $= m^*(G \sim D) + m^*(H \sim E) = 0 + 0 = 0.$ 

Thus  $D \cup E$  is measurable.

Theorem: Let  $E$  be a measurable set of finite outer measure. Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a finite disjoint collection of open intervals  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^n$  for which if  $0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I_k$  $_{k=1}^{n}$   $I_{k}$ , then

$$
m^*(E\sim O) + m^*(O\sim E) < \epsilon.
$$

Proof: We know that if  $E$  is measurable then there is an open set  $U$  such that  $E \subseteq U$  and  $m^*(U{\sim}E) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Since  $U$  is open it's measurable and has finite measure because  $E$  does.

Every open set of real numbers is the union of a countable collection of disjoint open intervals  $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , thus  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ .

Now we know that for all  $n$ :

$$
\sum_{j=1}^n l(I_j) = m^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j) \le m^*(U) < \infty.
$$

Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(i)$  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} l(I_j) < \infty.$ 

Choose an *n* such that  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} l(i)$  $\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} l(I_j) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Define  $O = \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j$  $\prod_{j=1}^n I_j$ .

Since  $O \sim E \subseteq U \sim E$  we have:

$$
m^*(O \sim E) \le m^*(U \sim E) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$

However, since  $E \subseteq U$ 

$$
E \sim O \subseteq U \sim O = \bigcup_{j=n+1}^{\infty} I_j.
$$

So:  $m^*(E \sim 0) \le \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} l(i)$  $\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} l(I_j) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Thus we have:  $m^*(E\!\sim\!0)+m^*(O\!\sim\!E)<\epsilon.$