

Diagonalizability

So far we know that a linear operator T on V or its associated matrix is diagonalizable if and only if there exists an ordered basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of eigenvectors of T . However, we don't know yet when a basis of eigenvectors exists. We saw in an example ($A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$) that there are linear operators/matrices which are not diagonalizable.

Theorem: Let T be a linear operator on a vector space V , and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of T . If v_1, \dots, v_k are eigenvectors of T such that λ_i corresponds to v_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof: The proof is by induction on k .

For $k = 1$, $v_1 \neq 0$ since it's an eigenvector so $\{v_1\}$ is linearly independent.

Now assume the theorem holds for $k - 1$ distinct eigenvalues and let's prove it for k distinct eigenvalues.

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be eigenvectors associated with the distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$.

Suppose $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly dependent. Then we have:

$$(*) \quad a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k = 0; \quad \text{where not all of the } a_i \text{'s are 0.}$$

Let's apply $T - \lambda_k I$ to both sides of this equations:

$$(T - \lambda_k I)(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k) = 0$$

$$a_1(T - \lambda_k I)v_1 + \dots + a_k(T - \lambda_k I)v_k = 0$$

$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)v_{k-1} + a_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_k)v_k = 0.$$

So
$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)v_{k-1} = 0.$$

But $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ is linearly independent so $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} = 0$, since $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_k$ if $i \neq k$.

Thus from (*) we get $a_k = 0$.

Hence $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent.

Corollary: Let T be a linear operator on an n -dimensional vector space V . If T has n distinct eigenvalues then T is diagonalizable.

The converse to the previous theorem is false. That is, if T is diagonalizable it is not true that T must have n distinct eigenvalues. For example, the identity linear operator is diagonal but has only one distinct eigenvalue.

If T is a linear operator on an n -dimensional vector space V , then its characteristic polynomial, $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$, where $[T] = A$, is a polynomial of degree n in λ . In general not every polynomial of degree n can be completely factored into linear factors with real coefficients. For example, $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ can't be factored into linear factors with real coefficients (it can with complex coefficients). If a polynomial of degree n factors completely into linear factors with real coefficients, ie

$$p(\lambda) = c(\lambda - a_1) \cdots (\lambda - a_n)$$

then we say $p(\lambda)$ **splits over** \mathbb{R} . Note that the a_i 's need not be distinct.

Theorem: The characteristic polynomial of any diagonalizable linear operator splits over \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Let T be a diagonalizable linear operator on an n -dimensional vector space V . Then there is an ordered basis for V of eigenvectors $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ such that

$$[T]_B = D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial for T is

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) = \det(D - \lambda I) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the characteristic polynomial splits over \mathbb{R} .

However, the characteristic polynomial of T may split over \mathbb{R} without T being diagonalizable. We saw that with the example $[T] = A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem: Let T be a linear operator on an n -dimensional vector space V . Then T is diagonalizable if and only if:

1. The characteristic polynomial for T splits over \mathbb{R} and
2. For each eigenvalue λ_i of T , the multiplicity of λ_i equals $\dim N(T - \lambda_i I)$.

Ex. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that A is diagonalizable and find a matrix P such that $D = P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal. Use this diagonal matrix to calculate A^k where k is a positive integer.

We start by finding the characteristic polynomial for A , and solving for its roots.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -2 \\ 1 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\lambda(3 - \lambda) + 2 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since A has two distinct eigenvalues and $\dim(\mathbb{R}^2) = 2$, we know there exist eigenvectors v_1, v_2 for A that form a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

Now let's find the eigenvectors:

For $\lambda = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (A - I) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow -x_1 - 2x_2 = 0 \text{ or } x_1 = -2x_2. \end{aligned}$$

So any vector of the form $\langle -2\alpha, \alpha \rangle = \alpha \langle -2, 1 \rangle$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda = 1$. In particular we can use $v_1 = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$.

For $\lambda = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (A - 2I) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow -2x_1 - 2x_2 = 0 \text{ or } x_1 = -x_2. \end{aligned}$$

So any vector of the form $\langle -\alpha, \alpha \rangle = \alpha \langle -1, 1 \rangle$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda = 2$. In particular we can use $v_2 = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$.

The change of basis P will map vectors in terms of the basis

$B = \{ \langle -2, 1 \rangle, \langle -1, 1 \rangle \}$ into vectors in the standard ordered basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} P = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\Rightarrow P^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(P)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = -1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So with respect to the basis B we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D = P^{-1}AP &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Which is exactly the matrix we would expect given that the eigenvalues of A are 1 and 2.

To calculate A^k for any positive integer k notice the following:

$$D = P^{-1}AP$$

$$PD = AP$$

$$PDP^{-1} = A \quad \Rightarrow \quad (PDP^{-1})^k = A^k.$$

$$\text{But } (PDP^{-1})^k = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1}) \dots (PDP^{-1}) = PD^kP^{-1}.$$

$$\text{So we have: } \boxed{A^k = PD^kP^{-1}.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^k &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1^k & 0 \\ 0 & 2^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 2^k & 2^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 2^k & 2 - 2^{k+1} \\ -1 + 2^k & -1 + 2^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Ex. Determine if $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$T(p(x)) = (3p(0) + p'(0)) + 3p'(0)x + p''(0)x^2$$

Is diagonalizable.

Let $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 & \Rightarrow & \quad p(0) = a_0 \\
 p'(x) &= a_1 + 2a_2x & \Rightarrow & \quad p'(0) = a_1 \\
 p''(x) &= 2a_2 & \Rightarrow & \quad p''(0) = 2a_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write:

$$T(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) = (3a_0 + a_1) + 3a_1x + 2a_2x^2.$$

We can find the matrix representation of T with respect to the standard basis $B = \{1, x, x^2\}$ by:

$$T(1) = 3 = 3(1) + 0(x) + 0(x^2) = \langle 3, 0, 0 \rangle_B$$

$$T(x) = 1 + 3x = 1(1) + 3(x) + 0(x^2) = \langle 1, 3, 0 \rangle_B$$

$$T(x^2) = 2x^2 = 0(1) + 0(x) + 2(x^2) = \langle 0, 0, 2 \rangle_B$$

$$A = [T]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now let's find the eigenvalues:

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (3 - \lambda)^2(2 - \lambda) = 0.$$

$\lambda = 3$ is a double eigenvalue

$\lambda = 2$ is an eigenvalue.

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 3: \quad A - 3I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ has rank 2.}$$

Notice that $A - 3I: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\text{Rank}(A - 3I) = 2$. Thus we have:

$$\dim N(A - 3I) + \text{Rank}(A - 3I) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$\dim N(A - 3I) + 2 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim N(A - 3I) = 1 \neq \text{multiplicity of } \lambda_1 \text{ which is 2.}$$

Thus T is not diagonalizable.

Note: You could also find the eigenspace of $\lambda_1 = \{\alpha < 0, 1, 0 > \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ which has dimension 1 which is not equal to the multiplicity of λ_1 .

Ex. Find the values of k for which $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$ is not diagonalizable.

Let's start by finding $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ and seeing if it splits over \mathbb{R} . If it does we can then see if A has any multiple eigenvalues (if it doesn't then A will automatically be diagonalizable). We can then test the multiple eigenvalues to see if $\dim(N(A - \lambda I)) = \text{multiplicity of } \lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & k - \lambda \end{vmatrix}. \quad \text{Using the bottom row we get:} \\ &= (k - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (k - \lambda)[(1 - \lambda)^2 - 1] = (k - \lambda)(\lambda)(\lambda - 2). \end{aligned}$$

So $p(\lambda)$ splits over \mathbb{R} and the eigenvalues are $\lambda = k, 0, 2$.

So the only values of k that will give us any multiple eigenvalues would be $k = 0, 2$.

Thus if $k = 0$ in the original matrix then $\lambda = 0$ would be a double eigenvalue.

If $k = 2$ in the original matrix then $\lambda = 2$ would be a double eigenvalue.

Now check to see if the original matrix is diagonalizable for $k = 0$ and/or $k = 2$.

If $k = 0$, then $\lambda = 0$ is a double eigenvalue and

$$A - 0I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{Rank}(A - 0I) = 1.$$

Thus we have: $\dim(N(A - 0I)) + \text{Rank}(A - 0I) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$

$$\dim(N(A - 0I)) + 1 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(N(A - 0I)) = 2 = \text{multiplicity of } \lambda = 0.$$

Since if $k = 0$, then $\lambda = 0$ is the only multiple eigenvalue, A is diagonalizable.

If $k = 2$, then $\lambda = 2$ is a double eigenvalue and

$$A - 2I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(A - 2I) = 2.$$

Thus we have: $\dim(N(A - 2I)) + \text{Rank}(A - 2I) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$

$$\dim(N(A - 2I)) + 2 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(N(A - 2I)) = 1 \neq \text{multiplicity of } \lambda = 2.$$

Thus if $k = 2$, A is not diagonalizable.