

Appendix to Connections and Covariant Differentiation

Γ_{ij}^k is not a tensor, but how do the components change under a change of coordinates?

Proposition:

Let U and \bar{U} be overlapping coordinate patches on a manifold, M , with local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) and $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ respectively, then

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \Gamma_{lr}^m + \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m}$$

Proof: $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \right) = \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \bar{\partial}_k.$

By the Chain Rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i} = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$$

$$\text{so } \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \right) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \left(\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right).$$

By Property #3 in the definition of a connection we get:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \left(\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \nabla_{\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right).$$

By Property #1 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lm}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Reindex the second term by replacing m with r and t with m :

$$= \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$$

Thus
$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \left(\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} + \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^m \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}.$$

Now apply both sides to \bar{x}^k :

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \Gamma_{lr}^m.$$

Notice by the Chain Rule:

$$\frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \left(\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial x^l \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Rightarrow$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \Gamma_{lr}^m + \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m}.$$

Now let's use this relationship to show:

Proposition:
$$\frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} = \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^s \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^m.$$

Proof: By the Chain Rule:

$$\delta_s^k = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial \bar{x}^s} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s}.$$

Now take our previous result:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \Gamma_{lr}^m + \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \Gamma_{lr}^m = \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m}.$$

Now multiply by $\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s}$:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s} \Gamma_{lr}^m = \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \delta_s^k \Gamma_{lr}^m = \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j} \delta_s^k$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^s \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^m = \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^j}.$$

Now we can show that:

Proposition: $T_{i;k} = \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^j T_j$ are the components of a (0, 2) tensor.

Proof: T_i are components of a (0,1) tensor, so: $\bar{T}_i = T_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i}$.

Differentiating both sides we get:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + T_j \frac{\partial^2 x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^k}.$$

Now by the Chain Rule:

$$= \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + T_j \left(\frac{\partial^2 x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^k} \right).$$

Using $\frac{\partial^2 x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i \partial \bar{x}^k} = \bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^j$ we get:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + T_j \left(\bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i} \Gamma_{lr}^j \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + \bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s T_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^s} - \Gamma_{lr}^j T_j \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i}$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + \bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \bar{T}_s - \Gamma_{lr}^j T_j \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial \bar{x}^i}.$$

Now if we subtract $\bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \bar{T}_s$ from both sides and reindex the last term by switching l and j , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} - \bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \bar{T}_s = \left(\frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^r} - \Gamma_{jr}^l T_l \right) \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i}.$$

So $\nabla_k T_i = T_{i;k} = \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^j T_j$ are the components of a $(0, 2)$ tensor.

In Riemannian geometry there is a very special connection called the Levi-Civita connection.

Levi-Civita Theorem:

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. There exists a unique connection ∇ that satisfies the following:

- 1) $\nabla g = 0$
- 2) For all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$.

Condition #1, $\nabla g = 0$, is equivalent to saying that the following product rule holds: $\nabla_X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$ where $\langle X, Y \rangle = g(X, Y)$.

The second condition implies that: $\Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i$.

Proof: Let $\nabla_Z \langle X, Y \rangle = Z \langle X, Y \rangle$. If the connection exists, then $\nabla g = 0$ and we have through the product rule:

$$* \quad X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$$

$$** \quad Y \langle Z, X \rangle = \langle \nabla_Y Z, X \rangle + \langle Z, \nabla_Y X \rangle$$

$$*** \quad Z \langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Z Y \rangle$$

Now if we add * and ** while subtracting ***, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} X(Y, Z) + Y(Z, X) - Z(X, Y) \\ = \langle \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X, Z \rangle + \langle \nabla_X Z - \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle \\ + \langle \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y, X \rangle + 2 \langle Z, \nabla_Y X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that ∇ is symmetric, meaning $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} X(Y, Z) + Y(Z, X) - Z(X, Y) \\ = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle + \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle + \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle + 2 \langle Z, \nabla_X Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\langle Z, \nabla_X Y \rangle$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z, \nabla_X Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (X(Y, Z) + Y(Z, X) - Z(X, Y) \\ - \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

The equation above will allow us to calculate Γ_{jk}^i for ∇ in terms of the metric g . Thus, if ∇ exists, then it's unique. To prove that such a connection exists one starts with the last equation and shows it satisfies $\nabla g = 0$ and $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$ (the two required conditions).

Proposition: Let (M, g) be a smooth manifold. Then, over a coordinate patch $U \subseteq M$ with local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection are given by

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2} g^{il} \left(\frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l} \right)$$

where g^{ij} are the entries to the inverse matrix (g_{kl}) .

Proof: Let $X = \partial_i$, $Y = \partial_j$, $Z = \partial_k$, then by the last equation in the previous proposition we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_k, \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\partial_i} \langle \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle + \nabla_{\partial_j} \langle \partial_k, \partial_i \rangle \\ &\quad - \nabla_{\partial_k} \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle - \langle [\partial_i, \partial_j], \partial_k \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle [\partial_i, \partial_k], \partial_j \rangle - \langle [\partial_j, \partial_k], \partial_i \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = 0$ since by the smoothness mixed partial derivatives are equal.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_k, \sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^l \partial_l \rangle \\ = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\partial_i} \langle \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle + \nabla_{\partial_j} \langle \partial_k, \partial_i \rangle - \nabla_{\partial_k} \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

$\langle X, Y \rangle$ is a smooth function on M so $\nabla_{\partial_i} \langle \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle$ is the directional derivative of $\langle \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle$ in the direction of ∂_i . Thus:

$$\nabla_{\partial_i} \langle \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (g_{jk})$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^l g_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (g_{jk}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (g_{ki}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (g_{ij}) \right).$$

Now multiply through by g^{kt} since $g_{kl} g^{kt} = \delta_l^t$.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^l g_{kl} g^{kt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n g^{kt} \left(\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \right)$$

$$\Gamma_{ij}^t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n g^{kt} \left(\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \right).$$

By renaming indices we get:

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2} g^{il} \left(\frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l} \right).$$