## Closed and Exact Differential Forms

Def. A differential k-form  $\omega$  is called **closed** if  $d\omega = 0$ .

Ex. Let  $\omega = (x^2 + y^2)dx + 2xydy$ . Show that  $\omega$  is closed.

$$
d\omega = d[(x^2 + y^2)dx + 2xydy]
$$
  
=  $d[(x^2 + y^2)dx] + d[2xydy]$   
=  $d(x^2 + y^2) \wedge dx + d(2xy) \wedge dy$   
=  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2 + y^2)dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^2 + y^2)dy\right) \wedge dx$   
+  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2xy)dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2xy)dy\right) \wedge dy$   
=  $(2xdx + 2ydy) \wedge dx + (2ydx + 2xdy) \wedge dy$   
=  $2ydy \wedge dx + 2ydx \wedge dy = 0.$ 

## Ex. Show that any 2 form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is closed.

Any 2 form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\omega$ , can be written as  $\omega = f(x, y) dx \wedge dy$ .

$$
d\omega = d(f(x, y)dx \wedge dy)
$$
  
=  $df \wedge dx \wedge dy$   
=  $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy\right) \wedge dx \wedge dy$   
=  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx \wedge dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy \wedge dx \wedge dy = 0.$ 

Ex. Show that  $\omega = dx_i \wedge dx_j$  is closed as a 2 form on  $\mathbb{R}^n.$ 

$$
d\omega = d\big(dx_i \wedge dx_j\big) = d(dx_i) \wedge dx_j + (-1)^1 dx_i \wedge d\big(dx_j\big) = 0.
$$

By induction one can show that  $\;\;\omega = dx_{i_1}\wedge dx_{i_2}\wedge...\wedge dx_{i_k}$  is closed on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Def. A differential k-form  $\omega$  is called **exact** if  $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $(k - 1)$ -form  $\eta$ .

Ex. Show that  $\omega = (x^2 + y^2)dx + 2xydy$  is exact on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

So we have to show we can find a real valued function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $df = \omega = (x^2 + y^2)dx + 2xydy.$ 

However, we know that:

$$
df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy.
$$

So we have to find a function  $f$  such that:

$$
df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy = (x^2 + y^2) dx + 2xy dy.
$$

Thus we need to have:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = x^2 + y^2
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy.
$$

We solve these 2 equations as was done in second year calculus.

$$
f(x,y) = \int (x^2 + y^2) dx = \frac{x^3}{3} + xy^2 + g(y).
$$

Now differentiate this equation with respect to  $y$ .

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy + g'(y).
$$

But we also know that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy$ , so  $2xy + g'(y) = 2xy.$ 

Thus  $g'(y) = 0$  and  $g(y) = c$ .

Thus if 
$$
f(x, y) = \frac{x^3}{3} + xy^2 + c
$$
, then  $df = \omega = (x^2 + y^2)dx + 2xydy$ .

Notice that if  $\omega$  is exact (i.e.  $\omega = d\eta$ ), then it must be closed since:

$$
d\omega=d(d\eta)=0
$$

So exact  $\Rightarrow$  closed. However, if  $\omega$  is closed does that imply it's exact? This is actually a very deep question. The answer depends on the set that  $\omega$  is defined on.

Ex. Suppose  $\omega = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy$ , is a 1-form defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  – (0,0). Show  $\omega$  is closed.

$$
d\omega = d\left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy\right)
$$
  
=  $-d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx\right) + d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy\right)$   
=  $-d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dx + d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dy$   
=  $- \left[\frac{(x^2 + y^2) - y(2y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dy \wedge dx\right] + \left[\frac{(x^2 + y^2) - x(2x)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \wedge dy\right]$   
=  $\frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \wedge dy + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \wedge dy = 0.$ 

Is this  $\omega$  exact? That is, is there a smooth function (or  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) such that  $df = \omega$ ?

Suppose there is a smooth function, f, on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - (0,0)$  such that  $\omega = df$ 

We can transform 
$$
\omega = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy
$$
 into polar coordinates by:  
\n $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$   
\n $(r, \theta) \to (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$   
\n $x(r, \theta) = r \cos \theta$   
\n $y(r, \theta) = r \sin \theta$ 

Now let's calculate:

$$
g^* \left( \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy \right)
$$
  
=  $\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} \circ g \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \circ g \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right)$   
=  $\frac{-r \sin \theta}{r^2} (\cos \theta dr - r \sin \theta d\theta) + \frac{r \cos \theta}{r^2} (\sin \theta dr + r \cos \theta d\theta)$   
=  $d\theta$ 

So it looks like  $\omega = d\theta$ , but  $\theta$  is not continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - (0,0)$ , as: lim  $\theta \rightarrow 2\pi$  $\theta = 2\pi \neq 0$ 

Furthermore, if there was a smooth function, f, on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - (0,0)$  such that  $df = \omega$ , then:

$$
df = d\theta
$$
  

$$
d(f - \theta) = 0 \implies f = \theta + \text{constant}
$$

Hence  $f$  can't be continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - (0,0)$  because  $\theta$  isn't. Thus, there is no smooth (or  $C^1$ ) function,  $f$  , on  $\mathbb{R}^2-(0,0)$  with  $df=\omega.$  So  $\omega$  is closed but not exact.

However, on some subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d\omega=0$  does imply  $\omega=d\eta$ , for any closed  $k$ -form  $\omega$ .

Theorem (Poincare's Lemma): If  $A\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open convex region,

then every closed form on  $A$  is exact.

One way to prove this is to observe that if  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i dx_i$  is a 1-form and  $\omega = df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  $\int_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$  $\frac{n}{i=1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$  (and we assume  $f(0) = 0$ ), then we have:

$$
f(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(tx) dt = f(x) - f(0).
$$

If  $u = tx$ , then by the chain rule:

$$
= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} f(tx) \right) (x_i) dt
$$

$$
= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \omega_i(tx) \right) x_i dt.
$$

So in order to find  $f$  given  $\omega$ , we should look at:

$$
I\omega(x) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n (\omega_i(tx)) x_i dt.
$$

For a  $k$ -form (instead of a 1-form) we get:

$$
\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \omega_{i_1}, \dots, i_k \, dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \quad \text{and}
$$

$$
I\omega(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^k (-1)^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^1 t^{k-1} \omega_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(tx) dt \right) x_{i_\alpha} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_{i_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}
$$

where  $\widehat{dx_{l}}_{\alpha}$  means omit  $dx_{l}^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\alpha}.$ 

Notice that I takes a k-form and gives us a  $k-1$  form. It also has the property that  $I(0) = 0$ . Through a very messy calculation one can show that:

$$
\omega = I(d\omega) + d(I\omega)
$$

Thus, if  $d\omega = 0$ , since  $I(0) = 0$  we have:  $\omega = d(I\omega)$  and  $\omega$  is exact.

Let  $A\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $\Omega^k(A)$  be the vector space of  $k$ -forms on  $A.$  We can create a sequence of linear maps between vector spaces by:

$$
\Omega^0(A) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \Omega^1(A) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \Omega^2(A) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \Omega^n(A).
$$

If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(A)$ , then  $\omega$  is closed if  $\omega$  is in the kernel of:  $d \colon \Omega^k(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}(A)$ 

and  $\omega$  is exact if it's in the image of:

$$
d\colon \Omega^{k-1}(A) \to \Omega^k(A).
$$

Since  $d^{\,2}(\eta)=0$  for any  $\eta$ , the image of  $d$  :  $\Omega^{k-1}(A)\rightarrow \Omega^{k}(A)$  is contained in the kernel of  $d\!:\Omega^k(A)\to\Omega^{k+1}(A).$ 

We can create a group, called the  $k^{th}$  de Rham cohomology group,  $H^k_{dR}(A)$ , by:  $H_{dR}^k(A) =$  $\ker(d; \Omega^k(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}(A))$  $Im(d: \Omega^{k-1}(A) \rightarrow \Omega^k(A))$ .

So an element of  $H^k_{dR}(A)$  is a closed  $k$ -form on  $A$ . Two elements  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in H^k_{dR}(A))$ are considered the same (i.e. they are in the same equivalence class) if they differ by an exact  $k$ -form:

$$
\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + d\eta \quad ; \quad \eta \text{ a } k\text{-1 form}
$$

These groups are topological invariants. Thus, if  $A_1$  is homeomorphic to  $A_2$ , then their de Rham cohomology groups will be the same.