

The Derivative of a Function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m

Def. A **linear transformation**, $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, is a function such that for all

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

a. $T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v)$

b. $T(cu) = cT(u)$

A linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ can be represented with respect to the usual basis in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m by an $m \times n$ matrix.

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}e_j$, $e_j = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and the 1 is in the j^{th} place.

The coefficients of $T(e_i)$ appear in the i^{th} column of the matrix.

$$T(e_i) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ a_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Ex. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ and $S: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be linear transformations. Suppose:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, 0) &= (0, 2, 3, 1) & S(1, 0, 0, 0) &= (1, 2, 3) \\ T(0, 1) &= (2, -1, -1, 2) & S(0, 1, 0, 0) &= (-1, 3, 1) \\ & & S(0, 0, 1, 0) &= (2, 3, 1) \\ & & S(0, 0, 0, 1) &= (0, 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Find a matrix representation of S and T with respect to the standard basis, then find a matrix representation of $S \circ T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix representation of the composition, $S \circ T$, is gotten by matrix multiplication.

$$S \circ T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 16 & 0 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prop. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a number, M , such that: $|T(h)| \leq M|h|$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof: Let $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$ then,

$$|T(h)| = \left| \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_n \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} |a_1 \cdot h| \\ |a_2 \cdot h| \\ \vdots \\ |a_m \cdot h| \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})$$

$$= \sqrt{(a_1 \cdot h)^2 + (a_2 \cdot h)^2 + \cdots + (a_m \cdot h)^2}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{(|a_1||h|)^2 + (|a_2||h|)^2 + \cdots + (|a_m||h|)^2} \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality}$$

$$= \left(\sqrt{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_m|^2} \right) |h|.$$

Thus:

$$|T(h)| \leq \left(\sqrt{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_m|^2} \right) |h|.$$

So take

$$M = \sqrt{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_m|^2}.$$

If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that f is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} = f'(a)$$

This definition doesn't make any sense for a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (in that case, $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and dividing by a vector is not defined).

However, we can think of any number, $f'(a)$, as defining a linear transformation λ of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} by:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda: \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \lambda(h) &= (f'(a))h. \end{aligned}$$

So we could reformulate our definition of the derivative, $f'(a)$, by saying:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - f'(a)h}{h} = 0$$

Or

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)}{h} = 0$$

Thus, we say a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if there is a linear transformation $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)}{h} = 0$$

Note: Any linear transformation, λ , of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} , $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is just multiplication by a fixed number; $\lambda(h) = ph$; $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now we can generalize this definition to: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Def. A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is **differentiable** at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if there is a linear transformation, $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, such that:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Notice that $(f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If this limit is 0, then we say: $Df(a) = \lambda$.

Theorem: If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then there is a unique linear transformation, $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, such that:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Proof: Suppose $\tau: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation that also satisfies

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \tau(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(h) - \tau(h)|}{|h|} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|(\lambda(h) - f(a+h) + f(a)) + (f(a+h) - f(a) - \tau(h))|}{|h|} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \tau(h)|}{|h|} \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \quad \Rightarrow \lambda(h) = \tau(h). \end{aligned}$$

Ex. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f(x, y) = (xy, x + 2y)$. Using the definition of the derivative, show that:

$$Df(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We must show that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0}+h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$, where $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

If we let $h = (h_1, h_2)$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0} + h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|(h_1 h_2, h_1 + 2h_2) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}|}{|h|} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|(h_1 h_2, h_1 + 2h_2) - (0, h_1 + 2h_2)|}{|h|} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|h_1 h_2|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(h_1 + h_2)^2 = h_1^2 + 2h_1 h_2 + h_2^2 \geq 0$

$$h_1^2 + h_2^2 \geq -2h_1 h_2$$

$$\frac{h_1^2 + h_2^2}{2} \geq |h_1 h_2|.$$

So:

$$0 \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|h_1 h_2|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{h_1^2 + h_2^2}{2}}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2} = 0$$

Thus $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0}+h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$ and:

$$Df(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Ex. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \quad ; \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$= 0 \quad ; \quad (x, y) = (0, 0).$$

Show f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

Let's assume f is differentiable at $(0, 0)$ and derive a contradiction.

If f is differentiable at $(0, 0)$, then there is a linear transformation:

$\lambda: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0}+h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

where $h = (h_1, h_2)$.

Let $\lambda = (a_{11} \ a_{12})$ so if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then:

$$\lambda(x, y) = (a_{11} \ a_{12}) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = a_{11}x + a_{12}y, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left| \frac{h_1 h_2}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} - (a_{11}h_1 + a_{12}h_2) \right|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} = 0.$$

For this limit to exist we must get the same value, 0, no matter which direction h approaches $(0, 0)$.

Suppose $h = (h_1, 0)$; i.e. we approach $(0, 0)$ along the x -axis.

$$\lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|-a_{11}h_1|}{\sqrt{h_1^2}} = \lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|a_{11}||h_1|}{|h_1|} = |a_{11}| = 0$$

so $a_{11} = 0$.

Now approach $(0, 0)$ along the y -axis, i.e. $h_1 = 0$.

$$\lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|-a_{12}h_2|}{\sqrt{h_2^2}} = \lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|a_{12}||h_2|}{|h_2|} = |a_{12}| = 0$$

so $a_{12} = 0$.

Thus, $\lambda = (a_{11} \ a_{12}) = (0 \ 0)$ maps all vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 to 0.

Knowing $\lambda = (0 \ 0)$, let's approach $(0, 0)$ by $h = (h_1, h_1)$, i.e. $h_2 = h_1$.

$$\lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left| \frac{h_1^2}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_1^2}} - 0 \right|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_1^2}} = \lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_1^2}{(h_1^2 + h_1^2)} = \lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_1^2}{2h_1^2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

But then:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0} + h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \neq 0$$

thus, $f(x, y)$ does not have a derivative at $(0, 0)$.

Ex. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \frac{x^2 y^4}{x^4 + 6y^8} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ &= 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Show that $f(x, y)$ is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

Let's assume $f(x, y)$ is differentiable at $(0, 0)$ and derive a contradiction.

If f is differentiable at $(0, 0)$, then there is a linear transformation, $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where:

$$\lambda(x, y) = (a_{11} \quad a_{12}) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = a_{11}x + a_{12}y$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0}+h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

If we let $h = (h_1, h_2)$ then:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left| \frac{h_1^2 h_2^4}{h_1^4 + 6h_2^8} - (a_{11}h_1 + a_{12}h_2) \right|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} = 0.$$

For this limit to exist, we must get the same value, 0, when approaching $(0, 0)$ from any direction. In particular, suppose $h = (h_1, 0)$ (i.e. we approach $(0, 0)$ along the x -axis).

$$\lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|-a_{11}h_1|}{\sqrt{h_1^2}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_{11} = 0.$$

Now approach $(0, 0)$ along the y -axis (i.e. $h_1 = 0$):

$$\lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|-a_{12}h_2|}{\sqrt{h_2^2}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_{12} = 0$$

Thus, $\lambda = (a_{11} \ a_{12}) = (0 \ 0)$.

Knowing $\lambda = (0 \ 0)$, let's approach $(0, 0)$ by $h_1 = h_2^2$ (i.e. $h = (h_2^2, h_2)$).

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0} + h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} &= \lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_2^4 h_2^4}{(h_2^8 + 6h_2^8) \sqrt{h_2^4 + h_2^2}} \\ &= \lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_2^8}{(7h_2^8) \sqrt{h_2^4 + h_2^2}} \\ &= \lim_{h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(7) \sqrt{h_2^4 + h_2^2}} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{0} + h) - f(\vec{0}) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \neq 0$$

and $Df(0, 0)$ does not exist.

Theorem: If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then it's continuous at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof: To show f is continuous at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we need to show:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a) \text{ or equivalently } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(a+h) - f(a)) = 0.$$

We need to use the fact that $Df(a)$ exists:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

for some linear transformation $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |f(a+h) - f(a)| &= |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h) + \lambda(h)| \\ &\leq |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| + |\lambda(h)| \\ &= \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \cdot |h| + |\lambda(h)|. \end{aligned}$$

For any linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, we know there is a $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$|T(h)| \leq M|h|.$$

Thus:

$$0 \leq |f(a+h) - f(a)| \leq \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} |h| + M|h|.$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we know the RHS becomes 0 (why?).

Thus by the squeeze theorem:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |f(a+h) - f(a)| = 0.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(a+h) - f(a)) &= 0 \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) &= f(a). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiation Theorems:

The Chain Rule: If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at a , and $g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is differentiable at $f(a)$, then $g \circ f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is differentiable at a , and:

$$D(g \circ f)(a) = Dg(f(a)) \circ Df(a).$$

1) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a constant function, then

$$Df(a) = 0$$

2) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation, then

$$Df(a) = f$$

3) If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, then f is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if, and only if, f_i is differentiable and $Df(a) = (Df_1(a), \dots, Df_m(a))$. Thus, $Df(a)$ is the $m \times n$ matrix whose i^{th} row is $Df_i(a)$

4) If $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $g(x, y) = x + y$, then

$$Dg(a, b) = g$$

5) If $m: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $m(x, y) = xy$, then

$$(Dm(a, b))(x, y) = bx + ay, \text{ thus } Dm(a, b) = (b \ a).$$

Proof:

1.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - 0|}{|h|} = 0$$

2.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - f(h)|}{|h|} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a) + f(h) - f(a) - f(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

3. If f_i is differentiable at a and $\lambda = (Df_1(a), \dots, Df_m(a))$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h) \\ = (f_1(a+h) - f_1(a) - Df_1(a)(h), \dots, f_m(a+h) - f_m(a) - Df_m(a)(h)) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|f_i(a+h) - f_i(a) - Df_i(a)(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Thus f is differentiable at a and $Df(a) = \lambda$.

If f is differentiable at a , then by #2 and the chain rule, $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$ is differentiable at a where $\pi_i(x) = x_i$, and

$$\begin{aligned} Df_i(a) &= D\pi_i(f(a)) \circ Df(a) \\ &= \pi_i \circ Df(a) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Df(a) = (Df_1(a), \dots, Df_m(a))$.

4. Since $g(x, y) = x + y$ is a linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it follows from #2 that $Dg(a, b) = g$.

5. Let $\lambda(x, y) = bx + ay$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|m(a + h_1, b + h_2) - m(a, b) - \lambda(h_1, h_2)|}{|(h_1, h_2)|} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|h_1 h_2|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}}$$

Notice that:

$$|h_1 h_2| \leq |h_1|^2 \quad \text{if } |h_2| \leq |h_1|$$

$$|h_1 h_2| \leq |h_2|^2 \quad \text{if } |h_1| \leq |h_2|$$

Hence: $|h_1 h_2| \leq |h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2.$

So we can write:

$$0 \leq \frac{|h_1 h_2|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} \leq \frac{h_1^2 + h_2^2}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} = \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|h_1 h_2|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}} = 0.$$

Corollary: If $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at a , then

- i) $D(f + g)(a) = Df(a) + Dg(a)$
- ii) $D(f \cdot g)(a) = g(a)Df(a) + f(a)Dg(a)$
- iii) If $g(a) \neq 0$, then:

$$D\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)(a) = \frac{g(a)Df(a) - f(a)Dg(a)}{(g(a))^2}$$

Proof of ii:

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $F(x) = (f(x), g(x))$

$p: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$

then, $f(x)g(x) = p \circ F(x)$.

$$D(fg)(a) = D(p \circ F)(a)$$

$$= Dp(F(a)) \circ DF(a) \quad \text{Chain Rule}$$

$$= Dp(f(a), g(a)) \circ DF(a)$$

$$= (g(a) \ f(a)) \begin{pmatrix} Df(a) \\ Dg(a) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{by #3, #5}$$

$$= g(a)Df(a) + f(a)Dg(a).$$