Def. A metric space M is said to be **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in M converges to a point in M .

Ex. \mathbb{R}^n is complete with the standard metric

Ex. (0,1) is not complete with the standard metric.

ℚ is not complete with the standard metric.

Theorem: Let M, d be a complete metric space and $A \subseteq M$ a subset of M. Then A, d is a complete metric space if and only if A is closed in M .

Proof. Assume that A, d is complete and let $x \in M$ be any limit point of A.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in A that converges to $x \in M$.

Since $\{x_n\}$ converges it is a Cauchy sequence in A. A is complete so $x \in A$. Hence A is closed. Now let's assume that A is closed in M and show that A is complete. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in A. A \overline{M} ${x_n}$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in M, and hence $x_n \to x \in M$, since M is complete.

But A is closed so $x \in A$, and A is complete.

Ex. [0,1], $[0, \infty)$, \mathbb{Z} , and $[1,5] \cup \{16\}$ are all complete metric spaces with the standard metric on ℝ.

Notice that if M is complete and totally bounded then every totally bounded sequence in M has a convergent subsequence.

In particular, any closed, bounded subset of $\mathbb R$ (i.e. compact subsets of $\mathbb R$) is both complete and totally bounded. Thus, for example, every sequence in $[a, b]$ has a convergent subsequence.

How you measure distances can determine whether a metric space is complete. For example, you can have two metric spaces with the same underlying points but one is complete and the other isn't.

Ex. Let
$$
M_1 = [1, \infty)
$$
 with $d_1(x, y) = |x - y|$
\n $M_2 = [1, \infty)$ with $d_2(x, y) = |\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}|$.

The sequence $\{1,2,3,4,...\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M_2 (but not in M_1), but doesn't converge in M_2 .

Thus M_1 is a complete metric space (a closed subset of $\mathbb R$ with the standard metric), but M_2 is not complete because it has a Cauchy sequence that does not converge in M_2 .

Ex. Prove if M is complete then every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M satisfying $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < 2^{-n}$, for all n, converges to a point in M.

Since *M* is complete, we just need to show that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. So we must show that given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist an $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that if $m, n \geq N$, then $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$. Assume $m > n$:

$$
d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m)
$$

$$
< 2^{-n} + 2^{-(n+1)} + \dots + 2^{-(m-1)}
$$

$$
\le \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 2^{-i} = 2^{-n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots \right) = 2^{-n+1}.
$$

So if we can force $2^{-n+1} < \epsilon$ we'll almost be done.

$$
2^{-n+1} < \epsilon
$$
\n
$$
2^{n-1} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
(n-1)\ln(2) > \ln\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) = -\ln(\epsilon)
$$
\n
$$
n - 1 > \frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)}
$$
\n
$$
n > \frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)} + 1
$$

Note: If $\epsilon > 2$ the RHS will be negative, so we

Choose
$$
N > \max(\frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)} + 1, 0)
$$
.

Now let's show that this N "works".

If
$$
n \ge N
$$
 > max($\frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)} + 1$, 0)
\n
$$
n > \frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)} + 1
$$
\n
$$
n - 1 > \frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)}
$$
\n
$$
(n - 1)\ln(2) > -\ln(\epsilon) = \ln(\frac{1}{\epsilon})
$$
\n
$$
\ln(2^{(n-1)}) > \ln(\frac{1}{\epsilon})
$$
\n
$$
2^{(n-1)} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
2^{-n+1} < \epsilon.
$$
\nSo if $N > \max(\frac{-\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(2)} + 1, 0)$, then $d(x_n, x_m) \le 2^{-n+1} < \epsilon$.

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Ex. It can be shown that if $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in a metric space M , d , then $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb R$ (this is a good exercise). Is the converse true? That is, if $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in a metric space M , d , and $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \R then $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

This is false!! As a counterexample, Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ both be the sequence in \mathbb{R} , d (where d is the standard metric) given by $\{1, 2, 3, ... \}$. Then $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}$ is a sequence where all elements are 0, hence clearly a Cauchy sequence, but $\{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

Theorem: For any metric space M , d the following statements are equivalent:

- i. M, d is complete.
- ii. If $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_n \supseteq \cdots$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed sets in M with $diam(E_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_n \neq \emptyset$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \neq \emptyset$ (in fact, it contains exactly one point).
- iii. (The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem) Every infinite, totally bounded subset of M has a limit point in M .

Ex. We need the E_n 's in ii to be closed and $diam(E_n) \rightarrow 0$. For example:

Let
$$
E_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})
$$
, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$ (here E_n is not closed)
or let $E_n = [n, \infty)$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$ (here $diam(E_n) \to 0$).

Let V be a vector space.

Def. A **norm** $\|\cdot\|$ on *V* is a map from $V \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $v, w \in V$

- a. $||v|| \ge 0$, and $||v|| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$.
- b. $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \|v\|$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- c. $||v + w|| \le ||v|| + ||w||$

We can always define a metric on a vector space V from a norm by:

$$
d(v, w) = ||v - w||.
$$

Def. A linear space (i.e. a vector space) that is complete with respect to the distance defined by the norm is called a **Banach space**.

Ex. \mathbb{R}^n is a Banach space with $||v|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$; where $v = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$.